Review

MS4 OPERATOR INFORMATION

Municipality Name or Legal Entity Name

Town of Pawling

Permit ID #:

NYR20A472

MS4 Operator Type

Traditional land use control

Traditional Land Use Control

Town

Traditional Land Use Control

Traditional land use control MS4 Operator requirements are found in Part VI of the MS4 General Permit.

NYSDEC

Legal Municipal/Entity Mailing address

160 Charles Colman Boulevard

Pawling

NY

12564

Dutchess

Ranking Official

Official Title

First and Last Name

Phone

Email

Town Supervisor

James Schmitt

8458554474

jschitt@pawling.org

Report Preparer

Report Preparer Title

First and Last Name

Phone

Email

Stormwater Program Coordinator

JoAnne Daley

8458550959

jdaley@pawling.org

Coordinator Title	First and Last Name	Phone	Email
tormwater Program Coordinator	JoAnne Daley	8458550959	jdaley@pawling.org
PART IV			
Was the information in this section compl coalition/group?	eted as part of a		
No			
MS4 General Permit Resources			
Use the following webpages for more inf sheet:	formation on the permit ar	d fact	
MS4 Permit Webpage			
MS4 Toolbox 🗗			
SWMP Plan			
Annually: Have the alternative implement SWMP Plan been updated? (Part IV.A.1.e.)			
Yes			
Annually: Has the SWMP been updated? (Part IV.B.3.)		
Yes			
Mapping			
Annually: Has the comprehensive system	mapping been updated? (F	art	
IV.D.) Yes			
What tools are used to satisfy the compre	hensive system mapping mappers, etc.)		
requirements? (e.g. paper maps, GIS, web			
requirements? (e.g. paper maps, GIS, web			
	se I of the comprehensive		

)/.	2025, 9.51 AM NTSDEC (less Fortal System - M54 Affindal Report/Interim F1 - M54 Certification - 2025. Revision 1
	Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has Phase II of the comprehensive
	mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.b.)
	No
	Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.
	Item not due.
	Legal Authority
	Legal Authority
	Within the section of the FDC Ferrande decimals AMC/ Occurs
	Within three (3) years of the EDC: For newly designated MS4 Operators,
	has adequate legal authority been developed and implemented? (Part IV.E.)
	N/A
	Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The Town of Pawling is not a newly designated MS4
	Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section
	below:
	None Specified
	PART V
-	
	In Year 5: Has the SWMP Plan been evaluated? (Part V.C.)
(40	No No
を	
	Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.
	Item not due.
	item not due.
	PART VI
_	
W	hich MCMs in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?
	CM 1
	CM 2
ľ	CM 6
	Minimum Control Measure 1
	Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the focus areas been identified?
	(Part VI.A.1.a.)
	No
	····

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board on focus areas.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the target audience(s) and associated pollutant generating activities been identified? (Part VI.A.1.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the education and outreach topics been identified and how the education and outreach topics will reduce the potential for pollutants explained? (Part VI.A.1.c.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board

In Year 5: Has the method(s) used for distribution of educational messages been identified? (Part VI.A.2.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board

In Year 5: Has one educational message been delivered to each target audience(s) for each focus area based on the education and outreach topic(s)? (Part VI.A.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board. During the previous MS4 permits brochures and educational material was developed and distributed. i.e. septic system, construction sites requirements, etc.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have target audiences, focus areas, and/or education and outreach topics been updated? (Part VI.A.2.c.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 2

Annually: Has an opportunity for public involvement/participation in the development and implementation of the SWMP been provided? (Part VI.B.1.a.)

Yes

What was the opportunity for public involvement/participation in the SWMP?

Reporting concerns about activities or behaviors observed
Citizen volunteers to educate other individuals about the SWMP

Annually: Has the public been informed about the opportunity for their involvement in the development and implementation of the SWMP and how they can get involved? (Part VI.B.1.b.)

Yes

What is the method(s) used for distribution to inform the public of the opportunity for involvement?

Electronic materials (e.g., websites, email listservs)

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the publicly available SWMP Plan been provided? (Part VI.B.2.a.)

Yes

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the draft annual report been provided? (Part VI.B.2.b.i.)

Yes

What opportunity for review and comment on the draft annual report has been provided?

Posting of draft Annual Report on a public website

Presentation of the draft Annual Report

Annually: Have the comments received on the SWMP Plan been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

No comments received.

Annually: Have the comments received on the draft annual report been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

No comments received.

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 5: Have all the monitoring locations been inspected? (Part VI.C.1.e.i.a))

No

3/21/25, 9:51 AM NYSDEC € ness Portal System - MS4 Annual Report/Interim Pr ss Certification—2025. Revision 1 Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. How many monitoring locations have been inspected? 14 In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been provided? (Part VI.C.1.e.ii.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received monitoring locations inspection and sampling training been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iii.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iv.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge track down program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.2.) Yes In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge track down procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge track down been provided? (Part VI.C.2.b.) Yes How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge track down procedures? 2

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge track down procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.2.c.)

No

3/21/25, 9:51 AM NYSDEC e ess Portal System - MS4 Annual Report/Interim Progress Certification—2025. Revision 1 Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge track down procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.2.d.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge elimination program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.3.) Yes In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge elimination procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge elimination been provided? (Part VI.C.3.b.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge elimination procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.3.c.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge elimination procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.3.d.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below: None Specified Minimum Control Measure 4

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a construction oversight program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.D.3)

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's construction overs	sight
procedures prior to conducting construction oversight been pro	vided?
(Part VI.D.3.b.)	

NYSDEC (

Yes

How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's construction oversight procedures?

2

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received construction oversight procedures training been updated? (Part VI.D.3.c.)

Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the construction oversight procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.D.3.e.)

Yes

Annually: Has the inventory of construction sites been updated? (Part VI.D.4.b.)

Yes

How many construction sites are on the inventory?

5

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Have construction sites been prioritized? (Part VI.D.5.a.)

Yes

How many high priority construction sites are on the inventory?

5

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the construction site prioritization been updated? (Part VI.D.5.c.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs for acceptance received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting SWPPP reviews and/or approvals? (Part VI.D.6.a.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs been updated? (Part VI.D.6.d.)

Yes

Are pre-construction meetings conducted prior to the commencement of construction activity? (Part VI.D.7.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for construction site inspections received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting construction site inspections? (Part VI.D.8.a.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Annually: Have all sites with construction activity identified in the inventory been inspected during active construction after the preconstruction meeting, or sooner if deficiencies are noted that require attention? (Part VI.D.8.c.)

Yes

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for construction site inspections been updated? (Part VI.D.8.d.)

Yes

Are final construction site inspections conducted? (Part VI.D.9.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 5

Annually: Has the inventory of post-construction SMPs been updated? (Part VI.E.2.c.)

How many post-construction SMPs are on the inventory? 5

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have the required components been included in the post-construction SMP inventory? (Part VI.E.2.d.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.E.4.)

Yes

Has each post-construction SMP identified in the inventory been inspected at the required frequency? (Part VI.E.4.a.)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures prior to conducting postconstruction SMP inspection and maintenance been provided? (Part VI.E.4.b.)

Yes

How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's postconstruction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures?

2

Annually: Have names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures training updated? (Part VI.E.4.c.)

Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.E.4.d.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 6

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have best management practices (BMPs) been incorporated into the municipal facility program and municipal operations program? (Part VI.F.1.)

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.F.2.a.)

NYSDEC (

Yes

Which BMPs have been incorporated into the municipal facility program?

Minimize Exposure

Spill Prevention and Response Procedures

Manage Vegetated Areas and Open Space on Municipal Property

Waste, Garbage, and Floatable Debris

Salt Storage Piles or Pile Containing Salt

Erosion and Sediment Controls

Follow a Preventive Maintenance Program

in Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal facility procedures prior to conducting municipal facility procedures been provided? (Part VI.F.2.a.ii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal facility procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal facility procedures been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iv.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility inventory been developed? (Part VI.F.2.b.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the municipal facility inventory been updated? (Part VI.F.2.b.ii.)

No

At how many high priority municipal facilities was wet weather visual monitoring completed?

0

At how many monitoring locations was wet weather visual monitoring completed?

0

ness Portal System - MS4 Annual Report/Interim Plants & Certification—2025. Revision 1 In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each high priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.c)) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. At how many high priority municipal facilities was a comprehensive site assessment completed? In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each low priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.e.ii.c)) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due At how many low priority municipal facilities was a comprehensive site assessment completed? 0 Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal operations program been developed? (Part VI.F.3.a.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal operations procedures prior to conducting municipal operations been provided? (Part VI.F.3.a.ii.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal operations procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iii.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal operations procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iv.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have catch basins in need of inspection been identified? (Part VI.F.3.c.i.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has catch basin inspection information been inventoried? (Part VI.F.3.c.ii.)

Yes

How many catch basins have been inspected?

332

How many catch basins that were inspected had a sump >50% full of trash, sediment, and debris?

125

In Year 5: Have all streets, bridges, parking lots, and right of ways been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.a))

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. The Town has implemented a street sweeping program during the previous MS4 permits requirements.

What percentage of the total streets, bridges, parking lots, and right of ways have been swept?

42

Annually: Have all streets in business districts and commercial areas been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.b))

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have roads, bridges, parking lots, and right of way maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.ii.)

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have winter road maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.iii.)

Minimum Control Measure 1

Twice a year, in Year 4 and Year 5: Have educational messages with information specific to phosphorus been provided? (Part VIII.A.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with Conservation Advisory Board on updating educational /brochures

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has the number of each item listed in Part VIII.A.1.b. been included on the MS4 outfall inventory for each associated MS4 outfall? (Part VIII.A.4.)

Yes

Minimum Control Measure 4

How many high priority construction sites discharge to the phosphorus impaired water(s)?

2

Have high priority construction sites that discharge to the phosphorus impaired water(s) been inspected by the MS4 Operator at the required frequency? (Part VIII.A.5.)

Yes

Minimum Control Measure 6

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have all streets located in sewersheds discharging to phosphorus impaired segments been swept? (Part VIII.A.7.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. The Town maintains a street sweeping program as per previous MS4 permits.

What percentage of streets located in sewersheds discharging to phosphorus impaired segments have been swept?

100

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

PART IX

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to a TMDL listed in Table 3 of GP-0-24-001?

Yes

To which TMDL does the MS4 Operator discharge?

New York City East of Hudson Watershed

Which requirements in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any? MCM 5

New York City East of Hudson Watershed

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include the areas with potential to contribute phosphorus to the TMDL waterbody? (Part IX.A.1.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

In progress through a NYSDEC grant.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include the additional information for post-construction SMPs? (Part IX.A.1.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

In progress through the NYSDEC mapping grant.

Minimum Control Measure 1

Twice a year, in Year 4 and Year 5: Have educational messages with information specific to phosphorous been made available? (Part IX.A.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Working with Conservation Advisory Board to develop educational messages ation to targeted audiences

Minimum Control Measure 3

ness Portal System - MS4 Annual Report/Interim F ss Certification—2025, Revision 1 Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has the number of each item listed in Part IX.A.1.a. been included on the MS4 outfall inventory for each associated MS4 outfall? (Part IX.A.4.a.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. Once every five (5) years: Has the proper operation of on-site wastewater systems been ensured? (Part IX.A.4.b.i.) Yes Minimum Control Measure 4 ow many high priority construction sites discharge to the TMDL? Have high priority construction sites that discharge to the TMDL been inspected at the required frequency? (Part IX.A.5.c.) Minimum Control Measure 5 Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a retrofit plan that identifies the required components been submitted to the Department? (Part IX.A.6.f.i.a)) Yes Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have all retrofit projects been constructed to achieve the five (5) year phosphorus reduction assigned to the MS4 Operator, as required by the Croton Watershed Phase II TMDL Implementation Plan, January 2009? (Part IX.A.6.f.i.b)) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due. In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have changes made to the retrofit plan including information in Part IX.A.f.i. been submitted to the Department? (Part IX.A.6.f.ii.) No Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item. Item not due.

Minimum Control Measure 6

Have you reviewed compliance items which need to be completed

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Water, Bureau of Water Permits 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505 P: (518) 402-8111 | F: (518) 402-9029 www.dec.ny.gov

MS4 Operator Certification Form for eReports

SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges From Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (GP-0-24-001)

Instructions

As required by Part V.B.2. and Part V.B.3. of GP-0-24-001, the MS4 Operator must submit the Annual Report and the Interim Progress Certification, respectively. As stated in Part V.B.5. of GP-0-24-001, all reports must be signed in accordance with Part X.J. of GP-0-24-001.

MS4 Operator Name: Town of Pawling				
Permit ID: NYR20A 472				
eReport Submission Number: HQB-5D97-9Z9GM				
MS4 Operator Certification				
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.				
Name (please print or type)	Title			
James Schmitt	Town Superisor			
Signature	Date 3/17/2025			

