

MS4 Annual Report/Interim Progress Certification—2026



Alternate Identifier NYR20A472 **Submission** HQK-GHSH-3M7W1 **Revision 1** **Form Version 1.1**

Review

This step allows you to review the whole form to confirm the information is accurate and complete, prior to certification and submission. If any responses are marked with errors, please use the navigation pane to the left or the arrow above to return to previous sections of the form. Once there, you can edit your responses and correct any missing or invalid fields.

Please note: Information you have entered into the form will not be visible to NYSDEC staff until you complete the 'Certify and Submit' step.

MS4 OPERATOR INFORMATION

Permit ID #:

NYR20A472

Municipality Name or Legal Entity Name

Town of Pawling

Report Preparer

Fullscreen

| Report Preparer Title | First and Last Name | Phone | Email |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Stormwater Program Coordinator | JoAnne Daley | 845-855-0959 | jdaley@pawling.org |

MS4 Operator Type

Traditional land use control

PART IV

Was the information in this section completed as part of a coalition/group?

No

MS4 General Permit Resources

Use the following webpages for more information on the permit and fact sheet:

MS4 Permit Webpage 

MS4 Toolbox 

SWMP Plan

Annually: Have the alternative implementation agreements in the SWMP Plan been updated? (Part IV.A.1.e.)

Yes

Annually: Has the SWMP been updated? (Part IV.B.3.)

Yes

Mapping

Annually: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated? (Part IV.D.)

Yes

What tools are used to satisfy the comprehensive system mapping requirements? (e.g. paper maps, GIS, web mappers, etc.)

Paper map(s), working on GIS web mapper

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has Phase I of the comprehensive mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.a.)

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has Phase II of the comprehensive mapping been completed? (Part IV.D.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" for this item.

Item not due.

Legal Authority

Within three (3) years of the EDC: For newly designated MS4 Operators, has adequate legal authority been developed and implemented? (Part IV.E.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

The Town of Pawling is not a newly designated MS4

Enforcement Tracking

This reporting year, how many enforcement actions were undertaken for illicit discharges (e.g. verbal warnings, citations, stop work orders)? (Part IV.F.2.)

9

This reporting year, how many enforcement actions were undertaken for construction sites (e.g. verbal warnings, citations, stop work orders)? (Part IV.F.2.)

9

This reporting year, how many enforcement actions were undertaken for post-construction SMPs (e.g. verbal warnings, citations, stop work orders)? (Part IV.F.2.)

0

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

The SWO were for commencing site work without applying for permits.

PART V

In Year 5: Has the SWMP Plan been evaluated? (Part V.C.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

PART VI

Which MCMs in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?

- MCM 1
- MCM 2
- MCM 5

Minimum Control Measure 1

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the focus areas been identified? (Part VI.A.1.a.)

Yes

What focus areas were identified?

Areas discharging to waters with Class AA-S, A-S, AA, A, B, SA, or SB waters (mapped in accordance with Part IV.D.1.e.ii.a))

Areas with construction activities

Areas with on-site wastewater systems (subject to Part VIII. or Part IX. requirements)

Areas with illicit discharges

Residential, commercial, and industrial areas (mapped in accordance with Part IV.D.1.e.iii.)

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the target audience(s) and associated pollutant generating activities been identified? (Part VI.A.1.b.)

Yes

What target audience(s) and associated pollutant generating activities were identified?

Commercial: Business owners and staff

Construction: Developers, contractors, and design professionals

MS4 Operator's municipal staff

Residents

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the education and outreach topics been identified and how the education and outreach topics will reduce the potential for pollutants explained? (Part VI.A.1.c.)

Yes

What education and outreach topics were identified?

Dutchess Lake, POC that could impact the water quality, Construction site to ensure soil and erosion measures are implemented and maintained. EOHNYCW septic systems brochures to educate homeowner's on the overall benefits of SSDS pump out, inspections, and maintenance..

In Year 5: Has the method(s) used for distribution of educational messages been identified? (Part VI.A.2.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Currently, working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board.

In Year 5: Has one educational message been delivered to each target audience(s) for each focus area based on the education and outreach topic(s)? (Part VI.A.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. Currently, working with the Towns Conservation Advisory Board. (i.e. septic system, construction sites requirements, etc.)

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have target audiences, focus areas, and/or education and outreach topics been updated? (Part VI.A.2.c.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 2

Annually: Has an opportunity for public involvement/participation in the development and implementation of the SWMP been provided? (Part VI.B.1.a.)

Yes

What was the opportunity for public involvement/participation in the SWMP?

- Citizen advisory group on stormwater management
- Public hearings or meetings
- Coordination with other pre-existing public involvement/participation opportunities
- Reporting concerns about activities or behaviors observed

Annually: Has the public been informed about the opportunity for their involvement in the development and implementation of the SWMP and how they can get involved? (Part VI.B.1.b.)

Yes

What is the method(s) used for distribution to inform the public of the opportunity for involvement?

- Displays in public areas (e.g., town halls, library, parks)
- Electronic materials (e.g., websites, email listservs)
- Printed materials (e.g., mail inserts, brochures and newsletters)

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the publicly available SWMP Plan been provided? (Part VI.B.2.a.)

Yes

Annually: Has an opportunity to review and comment on the draft annual report been provided? (Part VI.B.2.b.i.)

Yes

What opportunity for review and comment on the draft annual report has been provided?

Posting of draft Annual Report on a public website

Annually: Have the comments received on the SWMP Plan been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

No comments received.

Annually: Have the comments received on the draft annual report been summarized? (Part VI.B.2.c.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

No comments received.

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has an inventory of monitoring locations been developed? (Part VI.C.1.c.i.)

Yes

How many monitoring locations are on the inventory?

69

How many MS4 outfalls are on the inventory?

69

How many interconnections are on the inventory?

72

How many municipal facility intraconnections are on the inventory?

0

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the monitoring location inventory been updated? (Part VI.C.1.c.ii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have monitoring locations been prioritized? (Part VI.C.1.d.i.)

Yes

How many high priority monitoring locations are on the inventory?

5

How many high priority MS4 outfalls are on the inventory?

5

How many high priority interconnections are on the inventory?

5

How many high priority municipal facility intraconnections are on the inventory?

0

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the monitoring location prioritization been updated? (Part VI.C.1.d.iii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a monitoring locations inspection and sampling program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.1.e.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 5: Every five (5) years following the most recent inspection, have all the monitoring locations been inspected? (Part VI.C.1.e.i.a))

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Every five (5) years following the most recent inspection, how many monitoring locations have been inspected?

14

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been provided? (Part VI.C.1.e.ii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received monitoring locations inspection and sampling training been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iii.)

Yes

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the monitoring locations inspection and sampling procedures been updated? (Part VI.C.1.e.iv.)

Yes

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge track down program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.2.)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge track down procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge track down been provided? (Part VI.C.2.b.)

Yes

How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge track down procedures?

3

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge track down procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.2.c.)

Yes

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge track down procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.2.d.)

Yes

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has an illicit discharge elimination program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.C.3.)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge elimination procedures prior to conducting illicit discharge elimination been provided? (Part VI.C.3.b.)

Yes

How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's illicit discharge elimination procedures?

3

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received illicit discharge elimination procedures training been updated? (Part VI.C.3.c.)

Yes

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the illicit discharge elimination procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.C.3.d.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 4

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a construction oversight program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.D.3)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's construction oversight procedures prior to conducting construction oversight been provided? (Part VI.D.3.b.)

Yes

How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's construction oversight procedures?

2

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received construction oversight procedures training been updated? (Part VI.D.3.c.)

Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the construction oversight procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.D.3.e.)

Yes

Annually: Has the inventory of construction sites been updated? (Part VI.D.4.b.)

Yes

How many construction sites are on the inventory?

21

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Have construction sites been prioritized? (Part VI.D.5.a.)

Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the construction site prioritization been updated? (Part VI.D.5.c.)

Yes

How many high priority construction sites are on the inventory?

14

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs for acceptance received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting SWPPP reviews and/or approvals? (Part VI.D.6.a.i.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

The Towns Environmental Director or Engineers PE review all SWPPP's

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for reviewing SWPPPs been updated? (Part VI.D.6.d.)

N/A

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

The Towns Environmental Director or Engineers PE review all SWPPP's

Are pre-construction meetings conducted prior to the commencement of construction activity? (Part VI.D.7.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the individuals responsible for construction site inspections received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity prior to conducting construction site inspections? (Part VI.D.8.a.i.)

Yes

Annually: Have all sites with construction activity identified in the inventory been inspected during active construction after the pre-construction meeting, or sooner if deficiencies are noted that require attention? (Part VI.D.8.c.)

Yes

Annually: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received four (4) hours of Department endorsed training in proper erosion and sediment control principles from a Soil & Water Conservation District, or other Department endorsed entity, for individuals responsible for construction site inspections been updated? (Part VI.D.8.d.)

Yes

Are final construction site inspections conducted? (Part VI.D.9.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 5

Annually: Has the inventory of post-construction SMPs been updated? (Part VI.E.2.c.)

Yes

How many post-construction SMPs are on the inventory?

11

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have the required components been included in the post-construction SMP inventory? (Part VI.E.2.d.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.E.4.)

Yes

Has each post-construction SMP identified in the inventory been inspected at the required frequency? (Part VI.E.4.a.)

Yes

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures prior to conducting post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance been provided? (Part VI.E.4.b.)

Yes

How many individuals were trained on the MS4 Operator's post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures?

2

Annually: Have names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures training updated? (Part VI.E.4.c.)

Yes

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have the post-construction SMP inspection and maintenance procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.E.4.d.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

Minimum Control Measure 6

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have best management practices (BMPs) been incorporated into the municipal facility program and municipal operations program? (Part VI.F.1.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility program been developed and implemented? (Part VI.F.2.a.)

Yes

Which BMPs have been incorporated into the municipal facility program?

- Minimize Exposure
- Spill Prevention and Response Procedures
- Manage Vegetated Areas and Open Space on Municipal Property
- Waste, Garbage, and Floatable Debris
- Salt Storage Piles or Pile Containing Salt
- Erosion and Sediment Controls
- Follow a Preventive Maintenance Program

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal facility procedures prior to conducting municipal facility procedures been provided? (Part VI.F.2.a.ii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal facility procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal facility procedures been updated? (Part VI.F.2.a.iv.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within two (2) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility inventory been developed? (Part VI.F.2.b.i.)

Yes

How many municipal facilities are on the inventory?

7

In Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Has the municipal facility inventory been updated? (Part VI.F.2.b.ii.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have the municipal facilities been prioritized? (Part VI.F.2.c.i.)

Yes

How many high priority municipal facilities are on the inventory?

1

In Year 4 and Year 5: Has the municipal facility prioritization been updated? (Part VI.F.2.c.iii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has a municipal facility specific SWPPP for each high priority municipal facility been developed? (Part VI.F.2.d.i.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

How many municipal facility specific SWPPPs for high priority municipal facilities have been developed?

0

In Year 5: Has all wet weather visual monitoring of the monitoring locations at all high priority municipal facilities been conducted? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.a))

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

At how many high priority municipal facilities was wet weather visual monitoring completed?

2

At how many monitoring locations was wet weather visual monitoring completed?

21

In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each high priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.d.ii.c))

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

At how many high priority municipal facilities was a comprehensive site assessment completed?

0

In Year 5: Has a comprehensive site assessment for each low priority municipal facility been completed? (Part VI.F.2.e.ii.c))

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due

At how many low priority municipal facilities was a comprehensive site assessment completed?

0

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has a municipal operations program been developed? (Part VI.F.3.a.)

Yes

Which BMPs have been incorporated into the municipal operations program?

- Minimize Exposure
- Spill Prevention and Response Procedures
- Manage Vegetated Areas and Open Space on Municipal Property
- Waste, Garbage, and Floatable Debris
- Salt Storage Piles or Pile Containing Salt
- Erosion and Sediment Controls
- Follow a Preventive Maintenance Program

In Year 5: Has training on the MS4 Operator's municipal operations procedures prior to conducting municipal operations been provided? (Part VI.F.3.a.ii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the names, titles, and contact information for the individuals who have received municipal operations procedures training been updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iii.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have the municipal operations procedures been reviewed and updated? (Part VI.F.3.a.iv.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Have catch basins in need of inspection been identified? (Part VI.F.3.c.i.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has catch basin inspection information been inventoried? (Part VI.F.3.c.ii.)

Yes

How many catch basins have been inspected?

332

How many catch basins that were inspected had a sump >50% full of trash, sediment, and debris?

63

In Year 5: Have all streets, bridges, parking lots, and right of ways been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.a)

Yes

Annually: Have all streets in business districts and commercial areas been swept? (Part VI.F.3.d.i.b)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

The roads within the business / commercial district are county or state roads. Town roads are swept annually.

What percentage of streets in business districts and commercial areas have been swept?

0

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have roads, bridges, parking lots, and right of way maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.ii.)

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have winter road maintenance specific BMPs been implemented? (Part VI.F.3.d.iii.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

The business / commercial district roads are either maintained by the state or county. .

PART VIII

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to an impaired water listed in Appendix C of GP-0-24-001?

Yes

For which pollutant(s) is the waterbody impaired? Select the pollutants for all the impaired waters listed in Appendix C of GP-0-24-001 to which the MS4 Operator discharges.

Phosphorus

Which requirements in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?

MCM 1

MCM 6

Phosphorus

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include MS4 infrastructure and sewershed information for each MS4 outfall and ADA MS4 outfall discharging to a phosphorus impaired water listed in Appendix C? (Part VIII.A.1.a.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include the listed items for each MS4 outfall discharging to a phosphorus impaired water listed in Appendix C? (Part VIII.A.1.b.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include ADA MS4 outfalls discharging to a phosphorus impaired water listed in Appendix C? (Part VIII.A.1.c.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

In progress. Working on implementation of GIS

Minimum Control Measure 1

Twice a year, in Year 4 and Year 5: Have educational messages with information specific to phosphorus been provided? (Part VIII.A.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has the number of each item listed in Part VIII.A.1.b. been included on the MS4 outfall inventory for each associated MS4 outfall? (Part VIII.A.4.)

Yes

Minimum Control Measure 4

How many high priority construction sites discharge to the phosphorus impaired water(s)?

0

Minimum Control Measure 6

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have all streets located in sewersheds discharging to phosphorus impaired segments been swept? (Part VIII.A.7.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due. The Town maintains a street sweeping program as per previous MS4 permits.

What percentage of streets located in sewersheds discharging to phosphorus impaired segments have been swept?

100

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

PART IX

Does the MS4 Operator discharge to a TMDL listed in Table 3 of GP-0-24-001?

Yes

To which TMDL does the MS4 Operator discharge?

New York City East of Hudson Watershed

Which requirements in this Part were completed as a coalition/group, if any?

MCM 5

MCM 1

New York City East of Hudson Watershed

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include the areas with potential to contribute phosphorus to the TMDL waterbody? (Part IX.A.1.a.)

Yes

Within three (3) years of the EDC: Has the comprehensive system mapping been updated, in a geographic information system (GIS), to include the additional information for post-construction SMPs? (Part IX.A.1.b.)

Yes

Minimum Control Measure 1

Twice a year, in Year 4 and Year 5: Have educational messages with information specific to phosphorous been made available? (Part IX.A.2.b.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due yet.

Minimum Control Measure 3

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Has the number of each item listed in Part IX.A.1.a. been included on the MS4 outfall inventory for each associated MS4 outfall? (Part IX.A.4.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Item not due.

Once every five (5) years: Has the proper operation of on-site wastewater systems been ensured? (Part IX.A.4.b.i.)

Yes

Minimum Control Measure 4

How many high priority construction sites discharge to the TMDL?

0

Minimum Control Measure 5

Within one (1) year of the EDC: Has a retrofit plan that identifies the required components been submitted to the Department? (Part IX.A.6.f.i.a))

Yes

Within five (5) years of the EDC: Have all retrofit projects been constructed to achieve the five (5) year phosphorus reduction assigned to the MS4 Operator, as required by the Croton Watershed Phase II TMDL Implementation Plan, January 2009? (Part IX.A.6.f.i.b))

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

The Town of Pawling meets retrofit compliance as part of the East of Hudson Watershed Corporation for phosphorous reduction.

In Year 2, Year 3, Year 4, and Year 5: Have changes made to the retrofit plan including information in Part IX.A.f.i. been submitted to the Department? (Part IX.A.6.f.ii.)

Yes

Minimum Control Measure 6

How many catch basins are located in the TMDL watershed?

116

Twice a year: Have all catch basins located in the TMDL watershed been inspected? (Part IX.A.7.a.)

No

Please clarify the reason for selecting "No" or "N/A" for this item.

Catch basins were inspected 1 x per year and if needed they are inspected the second time per year.

How many catch basins in the TMDL watershed have been inspected?

116

In Year 4 and Year 5: Have all streets located in the TMDL watershed been swept? (Part IX.A.7.b.)

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section below:

None Specified

INTERIM PROGRESS STATUS

Interim Progress Resources

Use the following webpages for more information on the permit and fact sheet:

MS4 Permit Webpage 

MS4 Toolbox 

Have you reviewed compliance items due within three years of EDC?

Yes

Have you reviewed compliance items due within four years of EDC?

Yes

Have you reviewed compliance items due within five years of EDC?

Yes

Have you reviewed compliance items which need to be completed routinely (annually, every five (5) years, etc.)?

Yes

Please enter any comments related to the questions in this section.

None Specified

CERTIFICATION

The ranking elected official or Principal Executive Officer for the MS4 Operator will be signing the form.

Yes

As the Ranking Elected Official or Principal Executive Officer, please download the certification form using the link below. Complete and sign the certification. Then, upload the certification form to this Interim Progress Certification and/or Annual Report.


Certification Form 

Attach completed certification form.

No files uploaded

Comment

None Specified

 **At least one file is required.**